UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,127	03/23/2006	Atsushi Saso	ARGM-122US	4902
52473 RATNERPRES	7590 10/15/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980	CE DA 10492	LAI, ANNE VIET NGA		
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,127	SASO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANNE V. LAI	2612
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. herecepted or b) □ objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/573,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 are pending in this case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ohmura** [US 6,462,674] in view of **Saitou** [US 6,128,559].

In claim 1, **Ohmura** teaches a vehicle mounted communication device comprising a videophone integrated with a travel information microcomputer (fig. 2) that can communicate with a remote similar communication device (videophone or PDA) equipped with navigation processor (GPS) to exchange video/voice and receive guidance from the remote communication device (col. 7, l. 6- col. 8, l. 51; col. 21, l. 30-51; claims 1-6, 25-27).

Although the mounting of the remote videophone or PDA on a vehicle is not specified, however it would have been obvious as design choice. **Saitou** discloses a two-way communication device mounted on a vehicle 10 (leading vehicle 101) exchanges travel information with identical communication devices mounted on other vehicles 10 (following vehicles 102, 103) for automatic adjusting its driving conditions based on information received from the other vehicles; the vehicles 10 can be attended or unattended (automatic navigation)

Art Unit: 2612

and any vehicle 10 can be selected as leading or following vehicle as user choice (fig. 4, vehicle travel ECU 60, GPS 70, radio communication unit 92, display 82, speaker 81; map display, voice guidance, col. 5, l. 29- col. 7, l. 6).

In claim 2, although not specified, it is well known in videophone communication, faces of people participated in the communication can be displayed as user choice.

In claim 3, **Saitou** discloses displaying images indicative of the leading vehicle and following vehicles (fig. 19, col. 17, I. 7-41); the claimed same destination setting would have been obvious for vehicles running in a platoon of Saitou.

In claim 4, both Ohmura and Saitou vehicle communication device having travel information processing and two-way communicating the travel information to other communication device, therefore outputting travel route information received from other device to perform setting operation would have been obvious as user choice.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Duckeck [US 6,678,609] and Bengler [US 7,424,309] disclose a vehicle navigation system having a video telephone communication feature.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNE V. LAI whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2612

(571)272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 6:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AVL

/Jeff Hofsass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612